

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE UNITED STAT **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INV	ENTOR	ρ	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/464,41	6 12/16/99	THANAVALA		Υ	RPP:156BUS
-		HM22/0221	٦	E	EXAMINER
DUNN & AS	SOCIATES	HU22/0221	·	FLOOD,M	
P O BOX 9				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEWFANE N	14108	•		1651	12
				DATE MAILED:	02/21/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/464,416

Applie Ant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit

Thanavala et al.

Michele Flood 1651



	HE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
		nths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	is later. In no event, how rejection.	ns from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever ever, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final
	date on which the response, the p determining the period of extension calculated from the date of the or	tained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The letition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of an and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be iginally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	Appellant's Brief is due two period for response set forth	nonths from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Ap _l but	oplicant's response to the fina it is NOT deemed to place the	rejection, filed on $\underline{\it Feb~1, 2001}$ has been considered with the following effect, application in condition for allowance:
X	The proposed amendment(s)	
	will be entered upon filing	g of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
	🛛 will not be entered becau	
		that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
	•	new matter. (See note below).
	issues for appeal.	to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
		Il claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	-	erted a new limitation in independent Claim 1 which would require further consideration
	and/or search.	
	Applicant's response has	overcome the following rejection(s):
	Newly proposed or amended	d claims would be allowable if submitted in a
	Newly proposed or amended separate, timely filed amend	
□ X	separate, timely filed amend	I claims would be allowable if submitted in a
	separate, timely filed amend The affidavit, exhibit or requ for allowance because: See attached paper.	d claims would be allowable if submitted in a ment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Hest for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition and the considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by
	separate, timely filed amend The affidavit, exhibit or requ for allowance because: See attached paper. The affidavit or exhibit will I the Examiner in the final reje For purposes of Appeal, the	would be allowable if submitted in a ment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Where the consideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition and the considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by action. Status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
	separate, timely filed amend The affidavit, exhibit or requ for allowance because: See attached paper. The affidavit or exhibit will I the Examiner in the final reje For purposes of Appeal, the Claims allowed:	would be allowable if submitted in a ment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The set for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition and the considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by action. Status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
	separate, timely filed amend The affidavit, exhibit or requ for allowance because: See attached paper. The affidavit or exhibit will I the Examiner in the final reje For purposes of Appeal, the Claims allowed: Claims objected to:	would be allowable if submitted in a ment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Test for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition and the considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by action. Status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
	The affidavit, exhibit or required for allowance because: See attached paper. The affidavit or exhibit will I the Examiner in the final rejection for purposes of Appeal, the Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: 1, 3, 5, and	would be allowable if submitted in a ment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Test for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition and the considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by action. Status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
	The affidavit, exhibit or required for allowance because: See attached paper. The affidavit or exhibit will I the Examiner in the final rejection for purposes of Appeal, the Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: 1, 3, 5, and	would be allowable if submitted in a ment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Test for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition and the considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by action. Status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
□ X	The affidavit, exhibit or required for allowance because: See attached paper. The affidavit or exhibit will I the Examiner in the final rejection of the Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: 1, 3, 5, and The proposed drawing corrections.	would be allowable if submitted in a ment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Test for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition and the considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by action. Status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
	The affidavit, exhibit or required for allowance because: See attached paper. The affidavit or exhibit will I the Examiner in the final rejection for purposes of Appeal, the Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: 1, 3, 5, and The proposed drawing corrections.	would be allowable if submitted in a ment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Where the consideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition and the considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by action. Status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Mod 7-10 Action filed on has has not been approved by the Examiner.
□ X	The affidavit, exhibit or required for allowance because: See attached paper. The affidavit or exhibit will I the Examiner in the final rejection for purposes of Appeal, the Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: 1, 3, 5, and The proposed drawing corrections.	would be allowable if submitted in a ment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Where the consideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition and the considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by action. Status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Mod 7-10 Action filed on has has not been approved by the Examiner.
□ X	The affidavit, exhibit or required for allowance because: See attached paper. The affidavit or exhibit will I the Examiner in the final rejection for purposes of Appeal, the Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: 1, 3, 5, and The proposed drawing corrections.	would be allowable if submitted in a ment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Where the consideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition and the considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by action. Status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Mod 7-10 Action filed on has has not been approved by the Examiner.

Application/Control Number: 09/464,416 Page 2

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgment is made of the receipt of Applicant's response made under 37 CFR 1.111 and 1.113.

Full consideration has been given to Applicant's arguments and declaration, however, Applicant's arguments do not distinguish over the prior art of record. Applicant argues that the enablement rejection made under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, is a new ground of rejection and that the final rejection necessitated by amendment made by the examiner is improper. Applicant further argues that the final rejection based upon non-enablement should have been made in the original rejection because the amendment of the claims did not make the previous office action rejection necessary. However, this is not found persuasive because the substantial amendment of the claims, which was made by Applicant necessitated the adjustment in the rejection. The original presentation of the claims did not incorporate the limitations of amended Claim 3, which incorporate each of the non-enteric pathogens antigens selected from the group consisting of the infectious diseases of hepatitis C, hepatitis delta, yellow fever, dengue, hemorrhagic fever, tetanus, Staphylococcus aureus, yaws, relapsing fever, rat bite fever, bubonic plague and spotted fever. In the originally presented claims, only Hepatitis B antigen was referred to in the claims, which narrowed the limitation of Claim 1 for the antigen to a non-enteric pathogen. Therefore, the rejection made under 35 U.S.C. was indeed a result of the substantial amendment to the claims made by Applicant. Hence, the rejection made in the previous office action is maintained for the reasons given in the previous office action and repeated here below.

Application/Control Number: 09/464,416 Page 3

Art Unit: 1651

The specification broadly discloses non-enteric pathogens that invade the epidermis of mammals via punctures, abrasions, cuts or other breaches in the skin, e.g. blood transfusions which can be used as sources of NEPA to raise a protective enteric immune response in mammals. However, the specification does not provide sufficient guidance as to how one of ordinary skill in the art would provide an immune response in a mammal and/or a human to a NEPA other than the non-enteric pathogen antigen, hepatitis B surface antigen. The specification does not disclose other specific non-enteric pathogen antigens which have been subjected to the claim-designated therapeutic regimen, nor does the specification teach any methodology associated with the making of genetically altered plant materials expressing any other NEPA other than the non-enteric pathogen antigen, hepatitis B surface antigen. In regard to Claim 3, the specification other than the mere suggestion on page 1, lines 13-16 does not provide guidance as to how to use the instantly claimed invention to provide an immune response to any all diseases caused by a nonenteric pathogen that invade the epidermis of mammals via punctures, abrasions, cuts or other breaches in the skin. Moreover, there is inadequate guidance as to how one of ordinary skill in the art would use the instantly claimed invention to genetically altered plant material to express any and all non-enteric pathogens other than HBsAg.

Inventions targeted for human therapy bear a heavy responsibility to provide supporting evidence because of the unpredictability in biological responses to therapeutic treatment. The standard of enablement is higher for such inventions because effective treatments for providing immunological responses to the instantly disclosed pathogens are relatively rare, and may be

Application/Control Number: 09/464,416 Page 4

Art Unit: 1651

unbelievable in the absence of supporting evidence. Claims drawn to compositions intended for the administration of compounds to humans generally require supporting evidence which clearly Claims drawn to compositions intended for the administration of compounds to humans generally require supporting evidence which clearly define the ingredients or constituents contained therein because of the unpredictability in biological responses to therapeutic treatments. In order to enable the skilled artisan to practice the invention as claimed, applicant would have to demonstrate the functional effect and describe the effective amounts of each ingredient for the administration of the composition intended for a therapeutic treatment. Accordingly, it would take undue experimentation without a reasonable expectation of success to determine which amounts of the instantly claimed plant materials expressing a non-enteric pathogen selected from those pathogens which cause the diseases hepatitis C, hepatitis delta, yellow fever, dengue, hemorrhagic fever, tetanus, Staphylococcus aureus, yaws, relapsing fever, rat bite fever, bubonic plague and spotted fever, and other ingredients, i.e. adjuvant, therein which would have the claimed functional effect for providing a an immune response in a mammal, wherein the specific immune response to the NEPA was stronger than a response specific to NEPA caused by the NEPA alone.

> LEON B. LANKFORD/JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER

Application/Control Number: 09/464,416

Art Unit: 1651

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

Page 5

should be directed to Michele Flood whose telephone number is (703) 308-9432. Any inquiry of

a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 1600

receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196 or the Supervisory Patent Examiner,

Michael Wityshyn whose telephone number is (703) 308-4743.

mcf

February 12, 2001